



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

up
10-2202
#4
Election

Applicants: Pasqualoni et al.

Serial No.: 09/845,549

For: A CHEMICAL MECHANICAL POLISHING SLURRY
COMPOSITION FOR POLISHING CONDUCTIVE AND NON-
CONDUCTIVE LAYERS ON SEMICONDUCTOR WAFERS

Filing Date: April 30, 2001

Examiner: D. Nguyen

Art Unit: 3723

RECEIVED

OCT 17 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Attorney Docket No.: 341.6910USU

Box Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. 121

Dear Sir:

This is a response to the Office Action mailed on September 12, 2002 for the
above-identified application.

REMARKS

Claims 1-31 are currently pending in the application. The Office Action has
stated that a restriction to either Group I (claims 1-29) or Group II (claims 30-31) is
required. Applicants respectfully traverse because each of the Groups as set forth in the
Office Action have the common invention set forth in claims 1-29, namely a slurry
composition for CMP polishing having a dispersion with an abrasive and an oxidizer.



Notwithstanding the foregoing, to comply fully with the restriction requirement, applicants elect with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, which includes claims 1-29, drawn to a slurry composition.

October 9, 2002

Respectfully submitted,

RECEIVED
OCT 17 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Paul D. Greeley
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 31,019
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, LLP
One Landmark Square, 10th Floor
Stamford, CT 06901-2682
Tel. (203)327-4500
Fax. (203)327-6401



CP/3723

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Pasqualoni et al.

Serial No.: 09/845,549

For: A CHEMICAL MECHANICAL POLISHING SLURRY
COMPOSITION FOR POLISHING CONDUCTIVE AND
NON-CONDUCTIVE LAYERS ON SEMICONDUCTOR
WAFERS

Filed: April 30, 2001

Examiner: D. Nguyen

Art Unit: 3723

Attorney Docket No.: 341.6910USU

RECEIVED

OCT 17 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

**BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231**

Dear Sir:

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT
TRANSMITTAL FORM**

Transmitted herewith is a Response to a Restriction Requirement in the above-identified application.

Petition for extension of time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.136 and 1.137 is hereby made if, and to the extent, required. The fee for this extension of time is calculated to be \$ _____ to extend the time for filing this response until _____.

The fee for any change in number of claims has been calculated as shown below.

CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid	Present Extra	Rate	
Total Claims	29	Minus	31	0	x \$18.00	\$
Independent Claims	3	Minus	4	0	x \$84.00	\$
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM FEE				x \$280.00 = \$		
TOTAL FEE FOR CLAIM CHANGES				\$0.00		

The total fee for this amendment, including claim changes and any extension of time is calculated to be \$ 0.00.



_____ A check in the amount of \$ 0.00 is attached.

X The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 and 1.17 which may be required with this communication or during the entire pendency of the application, or credit any overpayment, to **Deposit Account No. 01-0467**. A duplicate copy of this Form is enclosed.

October 10, 2002

Date

Paul D. Greeley, Esq.

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Registration No. 31,019

Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.

One Landmark Square, 10th Floor

Stamford, CT 06901-2682

Telephone: (203) 327-4500

Telefax: (203) 327-6401

RECEIVED

OCT 17 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, ON OCTOBER 10, 2002.

VICTORIA E. ROESER

NAME

SIGNATURE

OCTOBER 10, 2002

DATE